PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

See Form PCT/SA/220 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/IB2004/001537 13/05/2004 Applicant DREAMER S.R.L. This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of
Applicant DREAMER S.R.L. This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of
Applicant DREAMER S.R.L. This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of
DREAMER S.R.L. This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of
This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of
This International Search Report consists of a total of
This International Search Report consists of a total of
It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report. 1. Basis of the report a. With regard to the tanguage, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.
1. Basis of the report a. With regard to the tanguage, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.
a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.
The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.
b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the International application, see Box No. I.
<u></u>
2. X Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box II).
3. Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box III).
4. With regard to the title,
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.
[X] the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:
CONTAINER FOR PIECE GOODS
5. With regard to the abstract,
X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.
the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant
may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.
6. With regards to the drawings,
a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 2
as suggested by the applicant. X as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.
as selected by this Authority, because the applicant lained to suggest a rigure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.
b. none of the figures is to be published with the abstract.

		1	r C1/152004/00153/
A. CLASSI IPC 7	B65D5/66 B65D5/02		·
	to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification	ssification and IPC	
	SEARCHED ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classification system followed by classifi	fication symbols	
IPC 7	B65D	ucauon symbols)	
Documental	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent t	that such documents are incl.	uded in the fields searched
Electronic d	data base consulted during the international search (name of da	la base and, where practical,	. search terms used)
EPO-In	ternal		
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with Indication, where appropriate, of the	ne relevant passages	Relevant to daim No.
X	GB 2 371 289 A (FIELD GROUP PL 24 July 2002 (2002-07-24)	c)	1-28,32, 36-40, 42-44, 46-48, 63,67, 68,70, 72, 75-77, 83-85
Y	figures	-/	31,34, 41,86-93
X Furth	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	χ Patent family m	nembers are listed in annex.
A* docume	ent defining the general state of the art which is not lered to be of particular relevance	or priority date and	lished after the international filing date if not in conflict with the application but d the principle or theory underlying the
filing da L* document which is citation O* document other in P* document	ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another n or other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or	"X" document of particu cannot be conside involve an inventiv "Y" document of particu cannot be consider document is combi ments, such combi in the art.	plar relevance; the claimed invention red novel or cannot be considered to estep when the document is taken alone that relevance; the claimed invention red to involve an inventive step when the ined with one or more other such docuntation being obvious to a person skilled of the same patent family
	actual completion of the international search		ne international search report
	September 2004	15/09/20	·
lame and m	nailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2	Authorized officer	
	NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31–70) 340–3016	Bridauli	t, A

	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
ategory °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	BE 410 524 A (MINIDRÉ) 31 August 1935 (1935-08-31)	1,2,4-6, 13-20, 24-30, 33-36, 38,39, 43-51, 63,64, 66-68, 70,72, 75,76, 83-85
	figures 1-3	
X	US 3 265 282 A (MAXWELL STUART L) 9 August 1966 (1966-08-09)	1,2,4-6, 13-15, 19-21, 24,25, 44,45, 47-52,
		55,63, 67,68, 70,71, 73, 75-77, 83-85
	figures	63-65
X	US 6 363 696 B1 (TISMA PETAR) 2 April 2002 (2002-04-02)	1,2,4-6, 13-20, 24-30, 32,33, 36-39, 42, 44-47, 52,63,
Y	figures 1,2 	67,68, 70,72, 75,76, 81-85 31,34, 86-93
	-/	

C/Continu	History DOCUMENTS CONCIDENTS TO DE	PC1/182004/001537
Category *	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	GB 2 079 252 A (METAL BOX CO LTD) 20 January 1982 (1982-01-20)	1,2,4-6, 13-21, 24-29, 33, 36-38, 40,42, 44-47, 52,54, 63,67, 68, 70-72, 75,76, 81-85
Υ	figures 4-6	41
X	US 4 913 292 A (FIELD DAVID L) 3 April 1990 (1990-04-03)	1,2,4-6, 13-17, 19-21, 24,25, 44-48, 52,54, 63,64, 67,68, 70-73, 75-77,
1	figure 4	83-85° 80

Information on patent family members

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
GB 2371289	Α	24-07-2002	NONE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
BE 410524	Α		NONE		
US 3265282	A	09-08-1966	NONE		
US 6363696	B1	02-04-2002	US US	6195959 B1 6223507 B1	06-03-2001 01-05-2001
GB 2079252	Α	20-01-1982	NONE		
US 4913292	A	03-04-1990	NONE		

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box II.2

Claims Nos.:

In view of the large number and the wording of the claims presently on file, which render it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, the present application fails to comply with the clarity and conciseness requirements of Article 6 PCT (see also Rule 6.1(a) PCT) to such an extent that a meaningful search is impossible.

Claims 14, 24, 26, 44, 49, 63, 67, 80, 81 (resp. 89 to 95) are written as dependent claims, but include also the possibility of comprising only the features of the preamble of claim 1 (resp. 86), in whih case they are in fact independent. There results a lack of clarity of said claims, in that their scope cannot be unambiguously determined.

Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the application which do appear to be clear (and concise), namely diregarding the words "or according to the preamble of claim 1 (resp 86)" in claims 14, 24, 26, 44, 49, 63, 67, 80, 81 (resp. 89 to 95).

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. X Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically: see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this International application, as follows:
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.